Case 2:20-cv-04104 NIOAL Posyments H Filed 08/21/20 Page 1 of 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Wanny Rodriguez t/a Lehigh Avenue Supermarket (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) James N. Gross, Esquire 500 Office Center Dr., Fort Washington, PA 19034 267-513-1791			DEFENDANTS			
			U.S. Department of Agriculture			
			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant District of Columbia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
1 U.S. Government		vot a Party)		TF DEF I Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and of Business In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPICY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insnrance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 1367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 1368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 1370 Other Fraud 1371 Truth in Lending 1380 Other Personal Property Damage 1385 Property Damage 1385 Property Damage 1385 Property Damage 1385 Property Damage 1463 Alien Detainee 1510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 1530 General 1535 Death Penalty Other: 1540 Mandamus & Other 1550 Civil Rights 1555 Prison Condition 1560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportatiom □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 485 Telephone Consumer □ Protection Act □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes	
	cmoved from 3 ate Court Cite the U.S. Civil State ON Brief description of cate Appeal of Agency	Appellate Court tute under which you are tuse: / Decision IS A CLASS ACTION		tutes unless diversity):	Litigation - Direct File	
VIII. RELATED CASI		JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 08/21/2020		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD			

Case 2:20-cv-04104-N100ED STATES EISTERICF FOUND /21/20 Page 2 of 9

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 2837 W. Lehigh Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19132				
Address of Defendant: 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Alexandria, VA				
DEL LEED CLEE VE LOW				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered to any of the following questions:				
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No previously terminated action in this court?				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier No No No No No No No No No N				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No No				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action this court except as noted above.	n in			
DATE: 08/21/2020 PA ID. No. 40715				
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury				
 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 				
7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify):				
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Appeal of Federal Agency Decision				
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)				
I, James N. Gross, Esq, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:				
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE: 08/21/2020 PA ID. No. 40715				
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable) NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				

Civ. 609 (5:2018)

Case 2:20-cv-04104-NIQA Document 1 Filed 08/21/20 Page 3 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Nur	nber	E-Mail Address		
267-513-1791 267-363-		91	jimgross1616@verizon.	net	_
Date	Attorney-	at-law	Attorney for Plaintiff		
08/21/2020	James N. G	bross, Esquire	James N. Gross, Esquire	2	_
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do n	ot fall into any o	one of the other tracks.	(X)
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.)	complex and th	at need special of	or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for p	ersonal injury or	property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	red to be design	nated for arbitrat	ion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny				()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 2	8 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING C	ASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ed designation, that defendant sl	se Management e a copy on all do vent that a defe hall, with its fir ties, a Case Ma	Track Designative fendants. (See § endant does not stappearance, so nagement Track	duction Plan of this court, couns on Form in all civil cases at the tight 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding abmit to the clerk of court and set Designation Form specifying the land.	me o everse g said eve oi	f d n
U.S. Department of Agric	culture	:	NO.		
Lehigh Avenue Superma v.	rket	:	CIVIL ACTION		
Wanny Rodriguez t/a			CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Pennsylvania			
Wanny Rodriguez t/a Lehigh Avenue Supermarket Plaintiff(s) v. U.S. Department of Agriculture Defendant(s)))) Civil Action No.		
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) U.S. Department of Agricultu 1400 Independence Avenue Washington, DC 20250			
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. **CLERK OF COURT**			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Wanny Rodriguez t/a

Lehigh Avenue Supermarket

CIVIL ACTION

v.

: NO.

United States Department of Agriculture

:

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff is the sole proprietor of a grocery store in a highly concentrated residential and commercial section of the City of Philadelphia. The business functions as a retail seller of various food and non-food items for consumption and use in residential households.
- 2. Defendant is the United States Department of Agriculture which agency serves as the administrator for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP).
- 3. The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) was created to financially assist low-income individuals and/or families with the purchase of a variety of food items.
- 4. Individuals and/or families who qualify to receive these financial benefits are provided, to a vast majority, a card, similar to a "credit card". Each month the eligible individual or cardholder is allotted a certain sum of money to transact for the purchase of food items at retail food businesses who are authorized to accept and transact the card.
- 5. Retail food businesses who wish to participate in the program must be authorized to do so through the appropriate division or department of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

 Upon the business applicant fulfilling the eligibility requirements, the retailer thereafter is provided, amongst other items, an Electronic Benefit Transfer processor. The benefits

- card is "entered" into the processor resulting in the sale of items being accepted by the defendant and the value of same "credited" to the retailer.
- 6. Plaintiff Lehigh Avenue Supermarket had been a long-standing eligible participant in the program serving economically depressed and low-income individuals and families.
- 7. By letter dated 11/21/2019, plaintiff was alleged by the defendant to have committed violations of certain terms and conditions of the program's regulations. The violations were stemmed from Electronic Benefits Transfer transactions that "established clear and repetitive patterns of unusual, irregular and inapplicable SNAP activity for your firm".
- 8. The "activity" in question, as alleged by the defendant, constituted "trafficking". The sanction for (allegedly) engaging in "trafficking" was the <u>permanent</u> disqualification of the plaintiff from the SNAP Program.
- 9. As an alternative to the sanction of permanent disqualification, the plaintiff could pay an exorbitant and unaffordable fine. The size of the fine was completely <u>unrelated</u> to any amount the food retailer may have benefitted from *had he* engaged in "trafficking".
- 10. That by setting such an exorbitant amount for the fine which had to be paid within a short period of time, the only alternative available to the plaintiff was to attempt to rebut the charge or charges of "trafficking".
- 11. That permanent disqualification of the plaintiff from the program has resulted, and will continue to result, in a substantial loss of income. Plaintiff avers that such a loss, should it continue, may very well result in the closing of his store and further deprive economically depressed and low-income individuals and families the ability to purchase necessary and "program-eligible" foods within their neighborhood. Such disqualification is especially acute for impoverished single mothers and low-income elderly who have little, if any, independent transportation.

- 12. That "trafficking" is alleged to occur when the retailer offers the EBT participant cash in return for processing a "phantom" item. The item appears on the receipt provided by the EBT processor and shared with the defendant but is <u>not</u> purchased or possessed by the EBT cardholder.
- 13. As per a "charge" letter of 11/21/2019 from the defendant, plaintiff was alleged to have engaged in "trafficking" upon the defendant's review of a series of EBT processor receipts for certain months in 2019. The plaintiff was given 10 days to respond to the "trafficking" charges which stemmed only from a review of the receipts in question.
- 14. That if plaintiff failed to respond in 10 days to the "charge" letter of 11/21/2019, permanent disqualification would be imposed.
- 15. On 11/30/2019, plaintiff submitted a reply comprised of 84 pages of transaction receipts. In response to that submission, the defendant requested additional information alleging that the plaintiff's information could not be "adequately deciphered".
- 16. By letter dated 01/30/2020, the plaintiff was informed by a branch (Repair Operations Division) of the defendant, that he had been permanently disqualified from the program.
- 17. Though the defendant, with the aid of counsel herein, preserved his request for a review of the imposition of permanent disqualification by the defendant's Administrative Review Branch, the permanent disqualification was imposed as of 01/30/2020.
- 18. Plaintiff exercised his right to request administrative review which was to be determined only by written submission(s). Plaintiff offered such a submission on 04/06/2020.
- 19. As anticipated, the Administrative Review Branch, by whom plaintiff's submission was alleged to have been considered, sustained the imposition of permanent disqualification. This Final Agency Decision was circulated to the plaintiff on 07/22/2020.

- 20. Plaintiff, by filing the instant complaint is exercising his right to *judicial* review of the final agency decision/determination pursuant to 7 U.S.C. §2023.
- 21. In support of this complaint, plaintiff avers that the "evidence", utilized by the defendant through its designated divisions or branches in reaching the Final Agency Decision, did not "establish clear and repetitive patterns of unusual, irregular SNAP activity for your type of firm".
- 22. Plaintiff further avers that the "evidence" as proffered by the defendant, through its designated divisions or branches, was insufficient to support permanent disqualification.
- 23. Plaintiff avers that the process undertaken to permanently disqualify his store from participation in SNAP was no more than a pretext to make it that much more difficult for recipients of SNAP benefits, and retailers authorized to accept same, to participate in this much-needed program.
- 24. Plaintiff avers that the true motivation for the imposition of permanent disqualification, not only against his store, but against numerous and similar retailers, is to "strangle" access to SNAP to those who need it most and to those who service the eligible participants.
- 25. The actions of the defendant against the plaintiff in implementing this motivation were, and are, capricious, vexatious, punitive and discriminatory against those who are deeply and generationally impoverished.
- 26. Plaintiff is seeking a determination that the administrative action imposed against him was/is invalid, from which the determination of permanent disqualification should be reversed.
- 27. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to F.R.C.P. 38(b)(1).

Case 2:20-cv-04104-NIQA Document 1 Filed 08/21/20 Page 9 of 9

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests that he be heard at trial pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2023(a)(15), and should he thereafter prevail, that this court order a reversal of the permanent disqualification now imposed.

James N. Gross, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff PAID No. 40715

500 Office Center Dr., 4th Fl. Fort Washington, PA 19034

P: 267-513-1791 F: 267-363-1291

Email: jimgross1616@verizon.net